

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/511,330	02/23/2000	Eric Andre	9320.99US01	9320.99US01 4708	
23552 . 7	590 09/05/2002			. •	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 290	-	I EI TOII	LEI, TSULEUN R		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			LEI, ISOLEON K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	2684		···		
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Jen

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/511,330	ANDRE ET AL.	_
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		T. Richard Lei	2684	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	s
THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the provision of the p		be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this community OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims			erits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-9}$ is/are pending in the application	l .		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) 🗌 🖯	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🖯	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disa	pproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Appl	ication No	
* s	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	е
14)□ A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
) The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •		
Attachmen	-	•		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152	
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Pap	er No. 9

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camp, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent 6,097,974) in view of Vlahos, et al. (5,926,751).

Regarding Claim 1, Camp teaches a dual mode radio frequency reception device of the type enabling reception firstly of multi-carrier broadcast signals in a first frequency band, and secondly radio positioning signals in a second frequency band (Col.3, Lines 3-5), characterized in that it comprises a single preprocessing module (Fig.9, No. 910-915, 830 and 832), particularly including a pass-band antenna filter in which the pass-band includes at least the said first and second frequency

Art Unit: 2684

bands (Col.6, Lines 58-60, a shared filter with variable or switched element). Camp does not teach that the signal path will branch out from this point to separate IF sections for processing. Vlahos, however, discloses such arrangement in that the received RF signals of different bands are separately processed (Vlahos, Fig.2, No. 214/216 and 240/242). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Camp and Vlahos to simplify the matching requirement of the mixer input. Camp as modified by Vlahos teach that the filtered RF signal output firstly to a first processing system for the said multi-carrier broadcast signals, and secondly to a second system for processing the said radio positioning signals (Vlahos, Fig.2, No. 214/216 and 240/242).

Regarding Claim 2, Camp and Vlahos teach a device according to claim 1, characterized in that the said single preprocessing module also comprises at least one of the elements belonging to the group comprising: a first low noise amplifier (Camp, Fig.9, No. 915); a first transposition stage to a first intermediate frequency, by multiplying by a first transposition frequency (Camp Fig.9, No. 830 and 832); a second amplifier (Camp Fig.9, No. 830, the mixer contains amplification).

Regarding Claim 6, Camp and Vlahos teach a device according to claim 1, characterized in that it also comprises a frequency

Art Unit: 2684

synthesizer (Vlahos, Fig.1, No. 125) outputting into the said first and second processing systems, capable of generating at least two frequencies belonging to the group comprising: the said first transposition frequency (Camp, Fig.9, No. 832); the said digital conversion frequency (Vlahos, Fig.2, No. 234); a second transposition frequency used by a second transposition stage to a second intermediate frequency included in the said first processing system (Vlahos, Fig.2, No. 226); a second transposition frequency used by a second transposition stage to a second intermediate frequency included in the said second processing system (Vlahos, Fig.2, No. 250).

Regarding Claim 9, Camp and Vlahos teach a portable multimedia receiver, characterized in that it comprises a dual mode radio frequency reception device according to claim 1 (Camp, Col.3, Lines 3-5).

3. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camp and Vlahos and further in view of Leung et al. (U.S. Patent 5,719,573).

Regarding Claim 3, Camp teaches a device according to claim 1.

But Camp does not teach the A/D converter circuit in the device.

Leung, however, teaches the A/D circuit (Leung, Col.1, Lines 7
12). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine

Art Unit: 2684

the teaching of Camp and Leung to better explain the function of each component circuit in the device. Camp as modified by Leung teach a device according to claim 1, characterized in that the said first processing system comprises first digitization means and the said second reception system comprises second digitization means, the said first and second digitization means being controlled by the same analog-digital conversion frequency (Camp, Fig.9 and Leung, Col.1, Lines 7-12).

Regarding Claim 4, Camp and Vlahos as modified by Leung teach a device according to claim 3, characterized in that the said first digitization means include a delta-sigma pass-band modulator (Leung, Col.1, Lines 8-9).

Regarding Claim 5, Camp and Vlahos as modified by Leung teach a device according to claims 3, characterized in that the said second digitization means include a "1-bit" quantifier (Leung, Col.4, Lines 4-7).

4. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camp in view of Oyaqi (U.S. Patent 6,292,232).

Regarding Claim 7, Camp teaches a device according to claim 1, characterized in that the said first processing system is used for the reception of communication RF signals and in that the second processing system is used for the reception of GPS signals

Art Unit: 2684

(Fig. 9). Camp does not teach that the communication RF signal also includes the DAB signal. Oyagi, however, teaches a device for receiving a plurality of high-frequency RF signals of different bandwidth, including the DAB signal (Oyagi, Col.1, Lines 6-8 and 31-35). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Camp and Oyagi to include the GPS circuit in all RF communications device to take advantage of the service provided, free of charge, for location and timing information to the users.

Regarding Claim 8, Camp and Oyagi teach a device according to claim 1, characterized in that the said first frequency band is between about 1452.192 MHz and 1491.392 MHz (Oyagi, Col.1, Lines 31-35), and in that the said second frequency band is between about 1574.42 MHz and 1576.42 MHz (Camp, Col.3, Lines 3-5).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. Richard Lei whose telephone number is 703-305-4828. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:00.

Art Unit: 2684

.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dan Hunter can be reached on 703-308-6732. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5403 for regular communications and 703-308-5403 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

TRL

August 26, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER

 \mathfrak{T}